



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,609	03/03/2004	Jonathan Peeri		2697

7590 05/17/2005

Mr. Jonathan Peeri
7050 Middlesbury Ridge Circle
West Hills, CA 91307

EXAMINER

HASAN, MOHAMMED A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2873

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/791,609	Applicant(s) PEERI, JONATHAN	
	Examiner Mohammed Hasan	Art Unit 2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 – 3, 7, and 8 the word “may “ renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the word are part of the claim invention. See MPEP 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 4, 5, 6, and 9 the phrase “ can be “ render the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claim invention. See MPEP 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—

Art Unit: 2873

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kurematsu (6,650,460 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Kurematsu discloses (refer to figures 1 and 2) a method of enhancing visibility at various light conditions (i.e., 1R, 1G, 1B) comprising steps like: focusing the desired object or view (source image) on a light modulating device (AMA 3) ; modulating the light of the focused image (object) by a system like a Light Control Panel (LCP) (4), such that desired image elements can have different intensities thus generating an enhanced image; and projecting the enhanced image with the magnification (column 4, lines 19 – 27, lines 66 – 67, column 5, lines 1 – 5).

Regarding claim 2, Kurematsu discloses, optics may comprise an optical array based on any of the following technologies an optical film array (AMA 3) (column 5, line 7).

Regarding claim 3, Kurematsu discloses, wherein the light modulating system comprise a light control panel (LCP) based on any pixilated light sensitive elements (LSE) controlling the lightmodulating pixel where light modulating pixel may comprise any of the following reflective (column 6, lines 30 – 39).

Regarding claim 4, Kurematsu discloses, where the source image is collimated (20) and manipulated such that the enhanced image appears to be originated from the

source image; and whereby light and enhanced image can be of any frequency range in the spectrum (column 5, line 44).

Regarding claim 5, Kurematsu discloses, where the same device used for focusing the desired object can be used for projecting and collimating enhanced image (as shown in figure 2).

Regarding claim 6, Kurematsu discloses, a light control panel (LCP) comprising light modulator material, pixel electrodes, light sensitive elements (LSE) and associated pixel control mechanism to produce image, where the optical characteristics of any pixel of image may be controlled by the light sensitive element; and whereby the light modulating material and light sensitive elements can be used at any frequency band in the spectrum (column 6 , lines 10 – 47).

Regarding claim 7, Kurematsu discloses, where the light controlled may comprise any of the following properties as reflective (as shown in figure 2).

Regarding claim 8, Kurematsu discloses, where the controlled panel is based on any pixilated light modulating mechanism may control the magnitude of the light modulation of the entire LCP in addition to controlling image pixels by the light sensitive elements (column 6, lines 10 – 47).

Regarding claim 9, Kurematsu discloses, a device like a Light Control Panel (LCP) in the light path of a system at a location where an image or a sub-image is created, such that desired image or sub image elements can have different intensities and whereby the method can be implemented for any frequency range in the electromagnetic spectrum (as shown in figure 2).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant argument Kurematsu (6,650,460) discloses (refer to figures 1 and 2) a method of enhancing visibility at various light conditions (i.e., 1R, 1G, 1B) comprising steps like: focusing the desired object or view (source image) on a light modulating device (AMA 3) ; modulating the light of the focused image (object) by a system like a Light Control Panel (LCP) (4) (i.e., light controlling device LCP 4 controlling the modulating light) such that desired image elements can have different intensities thus generating an enhanced image; and projecting the enhanced image with the magnification (column 4, lines 19 – 27, lines 66 – 67, column 5, lines 1 – 5).

Kurematsu further discloses, optics may comprise an optical array based an optical film array (AMA 3) (column 5, line 7) and a light control panel (LCP) comprising light modulator material, pixel electrodes, light sensitive elements (LSE) and associated pixel control mechanism to produce image (column 6 , lines 10 – 47).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammed Hasan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2331. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on (571) 272- 2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MH
April 25, 2005


Georgia Epps
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800